## Addendum RFP-6 Issued July 6, 2005

| Addendum #  | RF       | P Reference              | Original Text  | Revised Text<br>(deletions are struckout;  |  |  |  |
|---|----------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Volume # | Section #                |  | changes / additions are highlighted)   |  |  |  |
| Note: The Definitive Concession Agreement as referred to in Section 2.7.2 of Volume 1 of the RFP is that which now constitutes the new Volume 2 of the RFP. |          |                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| RFP-6.1   | 1        | Cover Page               | Consolidated to June 10, 2005  | Consolidated to June 10 July 6, 2005   |  |  |  |
| RFP-6.2   | 1        | Section 1.1              | <ul> <li>1.1 Structure of the RFP</li> <li>The RFP consists of the following three volumes and their respective schedules and appendices, each of which forms an integral part of this RFP:</li> <li>Volume 1 – General Information and Instructions to Proponents</li> <li>Volume 1 addresses the work included the DBFO Project (Section 2), the Payment Arrangements for the DBFO Project (Section 3), the Consultation and Selection Process (Section 4), and other general matters and instructions governing the RFP (Sections 5 and 6).</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li><b>1.1 Structure of the RFP</b>         The RFP consists of the following three volumes and their respective schedules and appendices, each of which forms an integral part of this RFP:         Volume 1 – General Information and Instructions to Proponents         Volume 1 addresses the work included in the DBFO Project (Section 2), the Payment Arrangements for the DBFO Project (Section 3), the Consultation and Selection Process (Section 4), and other general matters and instructions governing the RFP (Sections 5 and 6).     </li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
| RFP-6.3   | 1        | Section 6.1              | <b>6.1 Province's Right to Amend or Cancel RFP</b><br><br>Although it is the intention of the Province to select a Preferred Proponent<br>and to sign the Concession Agreement, if the Province does not receive a<br>Proposal that satisfactorily meets all of the requirements set out in this RFP,<br>as determined in the discretion of the Province, and for which the required<br>approvals described in Section 4.4 are obtained, without limiting the<br>preceding paragraph, the Province may cancel this RFP and issue a new<br>request for proposals for any or all parts of the DBFO Project. In such case,<br>the Province may proceed with any or all parts of the DBFO Project in such<br>manner as the Province in its discretion considers appropriate including by<br>using some or all of the Proponents' ideas and concepts and by proceeding<br>through a traditional design-bid-build, design-build or other procurement<br>model rather than that contemplated by this RFP. | 6.1 Province's Right to Amend or Cancel RFP Although it is the intention of the Province to select a Preferred Proponent and to sign the Concession Agreement, if the Province does not receive a Proposal that satisfactorily meets all of the requirements set out in this RFP, as determined in the discretion of the Province, and for which the required approvals described in <a href="#section-4.4">Section 2.7.2</a> are obtained, without limiting the preceding paragraph, the Province may cancel this RFP and issue a new request for proposals for any or all parts of the DBFO Project. In such case, the Province may proceed with any or all parts of the DBFO Project in such manner as the Province in its discretion considers appropriate including by using some or all of the Proponents' ideas and concepts and by proceeding through a traditional design-build, design-build or other procurement model rather than that contemplated by this RFP. |  |  |  |
| RFP-6.4   | 1        | Appendix 1A<br>Section 1 | <ol> <li>Definitions</li> <li>Capitalized terms not specifically defined in this Volume 3 have the meanings, as the case may be, set out in the RFP, the Concession Agreement or the Standard, Code or Guideline to which they refer.</li> </ol>   | <ol> <li>Definitions</li> <li>Capitalized terms not specifically defined in this Volume 3 1 have the meanings, as the case may be, set out in the RFP, the Concession Agreement or the Standard, Code or Guideline to which they refer.</li> </ol>   |  |  |  |
| RFP-6.5   | 1        | Appendix 1B<br>Section 1 | <ol> <li>Lead and Supporting Roles</li> <li>Supporting responsibilities include:</li> </ol>  | <ul> <li>Lead and Supporting Roles</li> <li>Supporting responsibilities include:</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |

| Addendum # | RFP Reference |                                      | Original Text  | Revised Text<br>(deletions are struckout;  |
|------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
|            | Volume #      | Section #                            | Original Text  | changes / additions are highlighted)   |
| RFP-6.6    | 1             | Appendix 1F<br>Proposal<br>Section 3 | Proposal Section 3 – Financial Plan<br><br>Proposal Section 3.6 – Financial Model<br><br>Structure of the Financial Model<br><br>k) all sheets must be set up to printed clearly and legibly on 8.5 X 11"<br>paper to include row and column descriptions on each printed page;<br>  | Proposal Section 3 – Financial Plan<br><br>Proposal Section 3.6 – Financial Model<br><br>Structure of the Financial Model<br><br>k) all sheets must be set up to be printed clearly and legibly on 8.5 X 11"<br>paper to include row and column descriptions on each printed page;<br>   |
| RFP-6.7    | 1             | Appendix 1G<br>Part One              | PART ONE: RISK-ADJUSTED NET PRESENT VALUE (60 Points)<br><br>Risk adjustment may be used to distinguish between a conforming<br>submission and materially conforming submissions. Any risk adjustment<br>applied will be the product of the collective wisdom of the Evaluation<br>Committee and their advisors, and will be fully documented.<br> | PART ONE: RISK-ADJUSTED NET PRESENT VALUE (60 Points)<br><br>Risk adjustment may be used to distinguish between a conforming<br>submission and materially conforming submissions. Any risk<br>adjustment applied will be the product of the collective wisdom of the<br>Evaluation Committee and their advisors, and will be fully documented.<br> |