Addendum RFP-2 Issued January 24, 2005

Addam dam "	RFP Reference		O data d Tarri			Revised Text			
Addendum #	Volume #	Section #	Original Text			(deletions are struckout; changes / additions are highlighted)			
RFP-2.1	1	Section 1.6 (Table 2)	Table 2 Anticipated Timetable for the Consultation and Selection Process			Table 2			
						Anticipated Timetable for the Consultation and Selection Process			
			Activity	Date	Acti	vity	Date		
			Workshops		Wor	rkshops			
			 Workshop A - Risk Allocation and Technical Issues 	Early December, 2004		 Workshop A - Risk Allocation and Technical Issues 	Early December, 2004		
			Workshop B – Commercial Issues and Draft Concession Agreement	Late January, 2005		 Workshop B – Commercial Issues and Draft Concession Agreement 	Late January, 2005 Late February, 2005		
			Workshop C - Revised Concession Agreement	Mid-March, 2005		 Workshop C - Revised Concession Agreement 	Mid-March, 2005 Early April, 2005		
			Proponents submit Proposed Amendments to the Draft Concession Agreement	During first week of January, 2005	Ame	ponents submit Proposed endments to the Draft ncession Agreement	During first week of January, 2005 -March 2, 2005		
			Revised Concession Agreement issued	Early March, 2005	Rev issu	rised Concession Agreement led	Early Late March, 2005		
			Proponents submit Proposed Amendments to the Revised Concession Agreement	March, 2005	Ame	ponents submit Proposed endments to the Revised acession Agreement	<mark>March</mark> April, 2005		
			Definitive Concession Agreement issued	April, 2005	-	initive Concession eement issued	April Early May, 2005		
			Closing Time for Proposals	May 12, 2005	Clos	sing Time for Proposals	May 12 June 15, 2005		
			Announcement of Preferred Proponent	Summer 2005		ouncement of Preferred	Summer 2005		
			Execution of Concession Agreement/Financial Close	Fall 2005	_	cution of Concession eement/Financial Close	Fall 2005		
			Note: All dates are subject to chang	e, in the Province's discretion.	Note:	All dates are subject to change	e, in the Province's discretion.		

	RFP Reference			Revised Text		
Addendum #	Volume #	Section #	Original Text	(deletions are struckout; changes / additions are highlighted)		
RFP-2.2	1	Section 5.3	5.3 Geotechnical Investigations by the Owner During Proposal Period The Province will consider undertaking a limited amount of drilling, sampling and testing and seismic refraction surveys at locations requested by Proponents. Since weather and daylight conditions will hamper field-work, site activities will be limited to the period after January 31, 2005. The Province does not warrant that any or all investigations will be undertaken or that any or all investigations will be completed as required or planned and reserves the right, in its discretion, to suspend, temporarily or permanently, investigations at any time. Requests for such surveys should be provided by RFI to the Contact Person no later than January 18, 2005.	5.3 Geotechnical Investigations by the Owner During Proposal Period The Province will consider undertaking a limited amount of drilling, sampling and testing and seismic refraction surveys at locations requested by Proponents. Since weather and daylight conditions will hamper field-work, site activities will be limited to the period after January 31, 2005. The Province does not warrant that any or all investigations will be undertaken or that any or all investigations will be completed as required or planned and reserves the right, in its discretion, to suspend, temporarily or permanently, investigations at any time. Requests for such surveys should be provided by RFI to the Contact Person no later than January 31, 2005.		
RFP-2.3	1	Appendix 1C Section 3.3	3.3 Traffic Volume Payment The Traffic Volume Payment will be directly linked to the number of	3.3 Traffic Volume Payment The Traffic Volume Payment will be directly linked to the number of		
			vehicles passing measuring points on the Highway (in both directions) in each year. The Traffic Volume Payment will be made on a per Passenger Vehicle Equivalent basis, with heavy vehicles given more weighting than other vehicles. The Concessionaire will be required to count all vehicles.	vehicles passing measuring points on the Highway (in both directions) in each year. The Traffic Volume Payment will be made on a per Passenger Vehicle Equivalent basis, with heavy vehicles given more weighting than other vehicles. The Concessionaire will be required to count all vehicles.		
			Traffic Volume Payments will be made on a banded basis. The Concession Agreement will define up to five traffic bands specifying the upper and lower limits of vehicle usage in each band. The Concessionaire is required to provide the relevant payment per Passenger Vehicle Equivalent for each band in its Proposal except for the upper most band, which will receive no payment per Passenger Vehicle Equivalent.	Traffic Volume Payments will be made on a banded basis. The Concession Agreement will define up to five traffic bands specifying the upper and lower limits of vehicle usage in each band. The Concessionaire is required to provide the relevant payment per Passenger Vehicle Equivalent for each band in its Proposal except for the upper most band, which will receive no payment per Passenger Vehicle Equivalent.		
			In setting the payment per Passenger Vehicle Equivalent for each band, the Proponent should ensure that the structure they propose:	In setting the payment per Passenger Vehicle Equivalent for each band, the Proponent should ensure that the structure they propose:		
			 does not provide for a guaranteed Traffic Volume Payment; and 	d oes not provide for a guaranteed Traffic Volume Payment; and that the Traffic Volume Payment as to		
			 that the Traffic Volume Payment varies to such an extent as to demonstrate that the Concessionaire is assuming traffic risk. In order to achieve this, the Concessionaire will be required to attructure the per Procession of Fouriellant 	demonstrate that the Concessionaire is assuming traffic risk. In order to achieve this, the Concessionaire will be required to structure the per Passenger Vehicle Equivalent payments such that:		
			required to structure the per Passenger Vehicle Equivalent payments such that:	a <u>1% decrease in traffic volume, measured in PVE, will result in at</u> least a 0.5% decrease in the Traffic Volume Payment; and		
			 a 1% decrease in traffic volume, measured in PVE, will result in at least a 0.5% decrease in the Traffic Volume Payment; and 	with no Traffic Volume Payment, the return to shareholders will be lower than the Concessionaire's cost of long-term debt as set out in the Financial Model.		
				 with no Traffic Volume Payment, the return to shareholders will be lower than the Concessionaire's cost of long-term debt as set out in the Financial Model. 	The actual Traffic Volume Payment will be determined by the number of Passenger Vehicle Equivalents falling with in each band, multiplied by the proposed rate for that band, indexed at the rate set out in the	
			The actual Traffic Volume Payment will be determined by the number of Passenger Vehicle Equivalents falling with in each band, multiplied by the proposed rate for that band, indexed at the rate set out in the Concessionaire's Proposal.	Concessionaire's Proposal.		

Addam dama #	RFP Reference		O data J T and		Revised Text		
Addendum #	Volume #	Section #	Original Text		(deletions are struckout; changes / additions are highlighted)		
RFP-2.4			pendix 1F 4.2.2	Price Proposal Submission Requirements	4.2.2	Price Proposal Submission Requirements	
		Section 4.2.2		Proponents must provide a completed Payment Schedule Form below. This proposed schedule will change in accordance with changes in the Payment Mechanism.		Proponents must provide a completed Payment Schedule Form below. This proposed schedule will change in accordance with changes in the Payment Mechanism.	
				The highest Annual Availability Payment proposed for a year may not be more than 20% higher than the lowest Annual Availability Payment proposed in any other year.		The highest Annual Availability Payment proposed for a year may not be more than 20% higher than the lowest Annual Availability Payment proposed in any other year.	
				The Traffic Volume Payment rate per Passenger Vehicle Equivalent proposed for each successive band must be less than the rate proposed for the previous band (i.e., the rate for Band no. 2 must be lower than the rate for Band no. 2). The number of Passenger Vehicle Equivalents in each band is provided in the Concession Agreement.		The Traffic Volume Payment rate per Passenger Vehicle Equivalent proposed for each successive band must be less than the rate proposed for the previous band (i.e., the rate for Band no. 2 must be lower than the rate for Band no. 1 2). The number of Passenger Vehicle Equivalents in each band is provided in the Concession Agreement.	
						In setting the payment per Passenger Vehicle Equivalent for each band, the Proponent should ensure that the structure they propose:	
						 does not provide for a guaranteed Traffic Volume Payment; and 	
						 that the Traffic Volume Payment varies to such an extent as to demonstrate that the Concessionaire is assuming traffic risk. In order to achieve this, the Concessionaire will be required to structure the per vehicle payments such that: 	
						 a 1% decrease in traffic volume on a per Passenger Vehicle Equivalent basis will result in at least a 0.5% decrease in the Traffic Volume Payment, except in the case of the top band (consisting of the highest number of Passenger Vehicle Equivalents); and 	
						 with no Traffic Volume Payment, the return to shareholders will be lower than the Concessionaire's cost of long-term debt as set out in the Financial Model. 	

	RFP Reference			Revised Text		
Addendum #	Volume #	Section #	Original Text	(deletions are struckout; changes / additions are highlighted)		
RFP-2.5	1	Appendix 1F Section 7.2.5	7.2.5 Aesthetics and Landscape Design Report	7.2.5 Aesthetics and Landscape Design Report		
		Section 7.2.5	The Aesthetics and Landscape Design Report must, at a minimum, include the following:	The Aesthetics and Landscape Design Report, which should not exceed 10 pages, must, at a minimum, include the following:		
			 a brief description of how aesthetics and visual quality will be considered in this project and how Phase 2 will be integrated into the area setting. The Proponent must provide a narrative and illustrations that clearly address the evaluation criteria contained under the aesthetics component in Appendix 1G; 	considered in this project and how Phase 2 will be integrated into the area setting. The Proponent must provide a narrative and illustrations that clearly address its approach to		
			 a brief description of the specific elements of the landscape design with details necessary for the reviewer to assess the plan, including how re-vegetation requirements will be developed and implemented for both functional, e.g., erosion control, and aesthetic purposes; 	design with details necessary for the reviewer to assess the plan, including how re-vegetation requirements will be		
			 a description of how bridge aesthetics are accounted for in the bridge configuration and surface finishes; 	 a description of how bridge aesthetics are accounted for in the bridge configuration and surface finishes; 		
			 a needs analysis outline, showing how factors such as utility services, road access, site features, traffic volumes, etc. will be considered and how they may influence the provision of roadside amenities; 	services, road access, site features, traffic volumes, etc. will		
			 plans showing access to and potential co-development of the joint-use rest area and Rafter's Pullout; 	 plans showing access to and potential co-development of the joint-use rest area and Rafter's Pullout; 		
			 plans showing proposals for any other points of interest, lookouts etc that the Proponent may propose. 	 plans showing proposals for any other points of interest, lookouts etc that the Proponent may propose. 		
RFP-2.6	1	Appendix 1G, PART ONE Paragraph (b)	 b) Traffic forecasts — The Traffic Volume Payments will be calculated utilizing a range of standardized traffic forecasts. The NPV of the likely Enhanced Service Period Payments over the Term will be calculated using not only the Province's traffic forecast but the Province's estimates of high and low case traffic scenarios. The same traffic scenarios will be used in the calculation of the NPV for each individual Proponent's financial submission. In calculating the NPV it is anticipated that the Province's financial advisors will utilize a stochastic modeling approach to determine the 	 utilizing a range of standardized traffic forecasts. The NPV of the likely Enhanced Service Period Payments over the Term will be calculated using not only the Province's traffic forecast but the Province's estimates of expected high and low case traffic scenarios based on the Province's traffic report. The same traffic scenarios will be used in the calculation of the NPV for each individual Proponent's financial submission. In calculating the NPV it is anticipated that the Province's financial 		
			most likely and the range of NPVs from each Proponent's financial submission.			